

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/664,423	Applicant(s) ROULEAU ET AL.	
	Examiner DANIEL KOLKER	Art Unit 1649	

All Participants:(1) Daniel Kolker, USPTO.(2) Chales Landrum, attorney for applicant.**Status of Application:** Allowable after Exmr Amdt

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 21 August 2009**Time:** _____**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: On 10 August 2009 examiner Kolker faxed proposed amendments to the claims and title to Mr. Landrum. On 21 August 2009 Mr. Landrum indicated that the proposed claim amendments were acceptable and suggested a new title. Examiner agreed newly proposed title was appropriate. Copies of agreed-upon claim and title language are in the attached office action..